|--|

IN THE UN	ITED STATES DI	NORT	U.S. DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF T F COURT OF T	
	ORTHERN DIST	3	المسافر فيسافر فيساف القر	,
	DALLAS DIVIS	1 '.	AUG - 4 2005	
STEVEN MOXLEY, #1266577, Plaintiff,)	CL E	RK, U.S. DISTRICT CO	URT
v.	j	3:05	-CV-0713-R	
WARDEN KEETON, et al.,)			
Defendants.)			

ORDER

After making the review required by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), the Court finds that the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and they are ADOPTED as the findings and conclusions of the Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions for leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis (Docket #4 and #6) are DENIED pursuant to the three-strike provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend (docketed as a motion to appoint counsel, Docket #10), and Plaintiff's motion to supplement (docketed as a "motion not for leave [to amend] previously filed complaint not to supersede but to extend or add to complaint, Docket #11) should be denied as moot.

Signed this 4 day of August, 2005.

UNITED SPATES DISTRICT JUDGE